arXiv:1411.6966v2 [math.CO] 7 Aug 2015

Sparse Multipartite Graphs as Partition Universal for Graphs of Bounded-Degrees *

Qizhong Lin[1], Yusheng Li[2]

[1]Center for Discrete Mathematics, Fuzhou University
Fuzhou 350108, China
[2]Department of Mathematics, Tongji University
Shanghai 200092, China
Email: linqizhong@fzu.edu.cn, li_yusheng@tongji.edu.cn

Abstract

For graphs G and H, let $G \to (H, H)$ signify that any red/blue edge coloring of G contains a monochromatic H as a subgraph, and $\mathcal{H}(\Delta, n) = \{H : |V(H)| = n, \Delta(H) \leq \Delta\}$. For fixed Δ and n, we say that G is a partition universal graph for $\mathcal{H}(\Delta, n)$ if $G \to (H, H)$ for every $H \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta, n)$.

In 1983, Chvátal, Rödl, Szemerédi and Trotter proved that for any $\Delta \geq 2$ there exists a constant B such that, for any n, if $N \geq Bn$ then K_N is partition universal for $\mathcal{H}(\Delta, n)$. Recently, Kohayakawa, Rödl, Schacht and Szemerédi proved that the complete graph K_N in above result can be replaced by sparse graphs. They obtained that for fixed $\Delta \geq 2$, there exist constants B and C such that if $N \geq Bn$ and $p = C(\log N/N)^{1/\Delta}$, then **a.a.s.** $G(N, p)$ is partition universal graph for $\mathcal{H}(\Delta, n)$, where $G(N, p)$ is the standard random graph on N vertices with $\mathbb{P}(e) = p$ for each edge e. From some results of Bollobás and Łuczak, we know that **a.a.s.** $\chi(G(N, p)) = \Theta((N/\log N)^{1-1/\Delta})$.

In this paper, we show that the random graph $G(N, p)$ in the above result can be replaced by the random multipartite graph. Let $K_r(N)$ be the complete r-partite graph with N vertices in each part, and $G_r(N, p)$ the random spanning subgraph of $K_r(N)$, in which each edge appears with probability p. It is shown that for fixed $\Delta \geq 2$ there exist constants r, B and C depending only on Δ such that if $N \geq Bn$ and $p = C(\log N/N)^{1/\Delta}$, then **a.a.s.** $G_r(N, p)$ is partition universal graph for $\mathcal{H}(\Delta, n)$. The proof mainly uses the sparse multipartite regularity lemma.

Keywords: Partition universal; Sparse multipartite regularity lemma; Probabilistic method

1 Introduction

For a family $\mathcal{H}$ of graphs, a graph G is said to be an $\mathcal{H}$-*universal* if it contains H as a subgraph for each $H \in \mathcal{H}$. The construction of sparse universal graphs for various families

*Supported in part by NSFC and CSC.

arises in the study of VLSI circuit design, and has attracted much of attention. VLSI (Very-large-scale integration) is the process of creating an integrated circuit by combining thousands of transistors into a single chip. We always view the circuits as graphs, and wish to deal with families of graphs. It is pointed out in [22] that the problem of designing an efficient single circuit, specialized for a variety of other circuits, can be viewed as constructing a small universal graph. For the references of universal graphs, one can see, e.g., [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 25, 29, 32, 37] and their references.

Let $G(n,p)$ be the standard random graph on n vertices with $\mathbb{P}(e)=p$ for each edge e. Let $K_r(n)$ be the complete r-partite graph with n vertices in each part, and $G_r(n,p)$ the random spanning subgraph of $K_r(n)$ with $\mathbb{P}(e)=p$ for each edge e of $K_r(n)$. Let us set

$$\mathcal{H}(\Delta,n)=\{H\subseteq K_n:\Delta(H)\le\Delta\},\quad\text{and}\quad\mathcal{H}(\Delta,n,n)=\{H\subseteq K_{n,n}:\Delta(H)\le\Delta\},$$

where $H\subseteq K_n$ and $H\subseteq K_{n,n}$ mean that H is a spanning subgraph of K_n and $K_{n,n}$, respectively. By counting all unlabeled Δ-regular graphs on n vertices, it is shown [4] that each $\mathcal{H}(\Delta,n)$-universal graph has at least $\Theta\big(n^{2-2/\Delta}\big)$ edges, where and henceforth $\Theta(f(n))$ to signify a function that differs from $f(n)$ up to a multiplicative positive constant. This lower bound was almost matched that from [2, 3], which constructed $\mathcal{H}(\Delta,n)$-universal graphs of order n with at most $\Theta(n^{2-2/\Delta}(\log n)^{4/\Delta})$ edges. It is shown that **a.a.s.** $G(n,p)$ is $\mathcal{H}(\Delta,n)$-universal with $p=c((\log n)/n)^{1/\Delta}$ in [27], and **a.a.s.** $G_2(n,p)$ is $\mathcal{H}(\Delta,n,n)$-universal with $p=c((\log n)/n)^{1/\Delta}$ in [24, 26]. Here we say $G_{n,p}$ possesses a property $\mathcal{P}$ asymptotically almost surely (**a.a.s.**) if $\mathbb{P}[G_{n,p}\in\mathcal{P}]=1-o(1)$ as $n\to\infty$.

For graphs G and H, let $G\to(H,H)$ signify that any red/blue edge coloring of G contains a monochromatic H as a subgraph. Thus the Ramsey number $R(H)=\min\{N:\ K_N\to(H,H)\}$. Furthermore, we say that a graph G is *partition universal* for $\mathcal{H}(\Delta,n)$ if $G\to(H,H)$ for each $H\in\mathcal{H}(\Delta,n)$.

A well-known result of Chvátal, Rödl, Szemerédi and Trotter [23] is as follows, which implies that $R(H)\le\Theta(n)$ for $H\in\mathcal{H}(\Delta,n)$. The proof is a remarkable application of Szemerédi regularity lemma [40], in which they used the general form of the lemma.

Theorem 1 ([23]) *For any fixed integer $\Delta\ge 2$, there exists a constant $B=B(\Delta)$ such that if $N\ge Bn$ then K_N is partition universal for $\mathcal{H}(\Delta,n)$.*

The size Ramsey number $\widehat{r}(H)$ is defined to be $\min\{e(G):G\to(H,H)\}$ in [28], where $e(G)$ is the size of the edge set of G. Recently, by using the sparse regularity lemma (see [33, 34]), an elegant result of Kohayakawa, Rödl, Schacht and Szemerédi [35] strengthens Theorem 1 by replacing K_N in Theorem 1 with sparse sparse graphs, implying $\widehat{r}(H)\le\Theta(n^{2-1/\Delta}(\log n)^{1/\Delta})$ for $H\in\mathcal{H}(\Delta,n)$, and confirming the upper bound in a conjecture of Rödl and Szemerédi [39].

Theorem 2 ([35]) *For fixed $\Delta\ge 2$, there exist constants $B=B(\Delta)$ and $C=C(\Delta)$ such that if $N\ge Bn$ and $p=C(\log N/N)^{1/\Delta}$, then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}\big(G(N,p)\ \textit{is partition universal for}\ \mathcal{H}(\Delta,n)\big)=1.$$

From some well-known results of Bollobás [13] and Łuczak [36] on chromatic numbers of random graphs with $p=C(\log N/N)^{1/\Delta}$ as above, we have $\chi(G(N,p))=\Theta((N/\log N)^{1-1/\Delta})$. In this paper, we shall show a version of Theorem 2 on sparse multipartite graphs as follows.

Theorem 3 *For fixed $\Delta \geq 2$, there exist constants $r = r(\Delta), B = B(\Delta)$ and $C = C(\Delta)$ such that if $N \geq Bn$ and $p = C(\log N/N)^{1/\Delta}$, then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\big(G_r(N,p) \text{ is partition universal for } \mathcal{H}(\Delta,n)\big) = 1.$$

Remark. Note that the constant $r = r(\Delta)$ in Theorem 3 depends on Δ only. The main strategy for the proof of Theorem 3 is the sparse multipartite regularity lemma [33, 34]. A key tool for the proof is an elegant embedding method developed in [35]. Moreover, the above result also holds if we color the edges of $G_r(N,p)$ by $k \geq 3$ colors, for which the constants r, B and C will depend on both Δ and k.

2 Sparse multipartite regularity lemma

In this section, we shall restate a sparse r-partite regularity lemma and some related properties. In the next of this paper, let $K_r(N)$ be the complete r-partite graph on parts $V^{(1)}, V^{(2)}, \ldots, V^{(r)}$ with $|V^{(i)}| = N$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, and let $G_r = G_r(N) = G_r(V^{(1)}, V^{(2)}, \ldots, V^{(r)})$ be the spanning subgraph of $K_r(N)$. Suppose $0 < p, \eta \leq 1$. For subsets $X \subseteq V^{(i)}$ and $Y \subseteq V^{(j)}$, $i \neq j$, let $e_{G_r}(X,Y)$ be the number of edges of G_r between X and Y, and let

$$d_{G_r,p}(X,Y) = \frac{e_{G_r}(X,Y)}{p|X||Y|},$$

which is referred to as the *p-density* of the pair (X,Y). We say that G_r is an (η,λ)-bounded graph with respect to p-density if any pair of sets $X \subseteq V^{(i)}$ and $Y \subseteq V^{(j)}$ $(i \neq j)$ with $|X| \geq \eta|V^{(i)}|, |Y| \geq \eta|V^{(j)}|$ satisfy

$$d_{G_r,p}(X,Y) \leq \lambda.$$

For fixed $\epsilon > 0$, we say such a pair (X,Y) is (ϵ,p)-*regular* if for all $X' \subseteq X$ and $Y' \subseteq Y$ with

$$|X'| \geq \epsilon|X| \text{ and } |Y'| \geq \epsilon|Y|,$$

we have

$$|d_{G_r,p}(X,Y) - d_{G_r,p}(X',Y')| \leq \epsilon.$$

Note that for $p = 1$ we get the well-known definition of ϵ-regularity. The following is a variant of the Szemerédi's regularity lemma [40] for sparse multipartite graphs, developed independently by Kohayakawa and Rödl, see [33, 34]. We restated it as follows.

Theorem 4 (Sparse multipartite regularity lemma) *For any fixed $\epsilon > 0$, $\lambda > 1$, $t_0 \geq 1$ and $r \geq 2$, there exist T_0, η and N_0, such that each r-partite graph $G_r(N) = G_r(V^{(1)}, V^{(2)}, \ldots, V^{(r)})$ with $N \geq N_0$ that is (η,λ)-bounded with respect to density p with $0 < p \leq 1$, has a partition $\{V_0^{(i)}, V_1^{(i)}, \cdots, V_t^{(i)}\}$ for each $V^{(i)}$, where t is same for each part $V^{(i)}$ and $t_0 \leq t \leq T_0$, such that*

(i) $|V_0^{(i)}| \leq \epsilon N$ *and* $|V_1^{(i)}| = |V_2^{(i)}| = \cdots = |V_t^{(i)}|$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq r$;

(ii) All but at most ϵt^2 *pairs* $\big(V_a^{(i)}, V_b^{(j)}\big)$ *are* $(\epsilon,p)-$*regular for* $1 \leq i \neq j \leq r$ *and* $1 \leq a,b \leq t$.

In the following, let us introduce the hereditary nature of sparse regularity which specially holds for r-partite graphs, see [30].

Definition 1 *Let $\alpha, \epsilon > 0$, and $0 < p \leq 1$ be given and let $G_r(N)$ be defined above. For sets $X \subseteq V^{(i)}$ and $Y \subseteq V^{(j)}$ $(i \neq j)$, we say that the pair (X, Y) is (ϵ, α, p)-dense if for all $X' \subseteq X$ and $Y' \subseteq Y$ with $|X'| \geq \epsilon|X|$ and $|Y'| \geq \epsilon|Y|$, we have*

$$d_{G_r,p}(X', Y') \geq \alpha - \epsilon.$$

It follows immediately from the definition that (ϵ, α, p)-denseness is inherited on large sets, i.e., that for an (ϵ, α, p)-dense pair (X, Y) with $|X'| \geq \mu|X|$ and $|Y'| \geq \mu|Y|$ the pair (X', Y') is $(\epsilon/\mu, \alpha, p)$-dense. The following result from [30] states that this "denseness-property" is even inherited on randomly chosen subsets of much smaller size with overwhelming probability.

Theorem 5 ([30], Corollary 3.8) *For every $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and $\epsilon' > 0$, there exist $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(\alpha, \beta, \epsilon') > 0$ and $L = L(\alpha, \epsilon')$ such that, for any $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ and $0 < p < 1$, every (ϵ, α, p)-dense pair (X, Y) in a graph G has the following property: the number of pairs (X', Y') with $X' \subseteq X$ and $Y' \subseteq Y$ with $|X'| = w_1 \geq L/p$ and $|Y'| = w_2 \geq L/p$ such that (X', Y') is an (ϵ', α, p)-dense pair is at least $(1 - \beta^{\min\{w_1, w_2\}})\binom{|X|}{w_1}\binom{|Y|}{w_2}$.*

3 Properties of the random multipartite graph

In this section, we dedicate in establishing the properties for the random multipartite graph $G_r(N, p)$. Recall that $K_r(N)$ has r parts $V^{(1)}, V^{(2)}, \ldots, V^{(r)}$ with $|V^{(i)}| = N$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, and $G_r(N, p)$ is the random r-partite spanning subgraph of $K_r(N)$ with probability p for edge appearance.

Definition 2 *For an integer N and $0 < p \leq 1$, we say that a graph $G_r(N)$ has the property $\mathscr{U}_{N,p}$ if for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq r$, all $U^{(i)} \subseteq V^{(i)}, U^{(j)} \subseteq V^{(j)}$ with $|U^{(i)}|, |U^{(j)}| \geq N/\log N$ satisfy*

$$|d_{G_r(N,p)}(U^{(i)}, U^{(j)}) - 1| \leq \frac{1}{\log N}.$$

The Chernoff's inequality (see e.g., [16, 8, 31]) will be useful for the proof of the following fact.

Lemma 1 *Let X be a binomial random variable. If $0 < \delta \leq 1$, then*

$$\mathbb{P}\big(|X - \mathbb{E}(X)| \geq \delta\mathbb{E}(X)\big) \leq 2\exp\big(-\delta^2\mathbb{E}(X)/3\big).$$

The first fact we will obtain as follows implies that **a.a.s.** the edge number for all sufficiently large pair defined as above are concentrated on the expectation for suitable probability p.

Fact 1 *If $p \geq 12(\log N)^4/N$, then $\mathbb{P}(G_r(N, p) \in \mathscr{U}_{N,p}) \to 1$ as $N \to \infty$.*

Proof. The graph $G_r(N,p) \notin \mathscr{U}_{N,p}$ means that there exists a pair $(U^{(i)}, U^{(j)})$ (for some $1 \le i \ne j \le r$) such that $|d_{G_r(N,p)}(U^{(i)}, U^{(j)}) - 1| > \frac{1}{\log N}$, i.e.,

$$\left| e_{G_r(N,p)}(U^{(i)}, U^{(j)}) - p|U^{(i)}||U^{(j)}| \right| > p|U^{(i)}||U^{(j)}|/\log N.$$

Hence, Lemma 1 implies the probability that the graph $G_r(N,p) \notin \mathscr{U}_{N,p}$ is at most

$$\begin{aligned} &\binom{r}{2}\binom{N}{|U^{(i)}|}\binom{N}{|U^{(j)}|} \times 2\exp\left(\frac{-p|U^{(i)}||U^{(j)}|}{3\log^2 N}\right) \\ &\qquad \le r^2 \cdot \exp\left(|U^{(i)}|\log N + |U^{(j)}|\log N - \frac{p|U^{(i)}||U^{(j)}|}{3\log^2 N}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which will tends to zero as $N \to \infty$ since $p \ge 12(\log N)^4/N$. □

For a r-partite graph $G_r(N)$ and integers $1 \le k \le \ell < r$, let $\mathcal{K}$ be the family consists of all k-subset K of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} V^{(i)}$ such that $|K \cap V^{(i)}| \le 1$ for $1 \le i \le \ell$. Define the auxiliary bipartite graph $\Gamma = \Gamma(k, G_r(N))$ with color classes $\mathcal{K}$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} V^{(i)}$, where $(K, v) \in E(\Gamma)$ if and only if $\{w, v\} \in E(G_r(N))$ for all $w \in K$. Here $E(\Gamma)$ is the edge set of Γ.

Definition 3 *Let integers N and $k \ge 1$ and reals $\xi > 0$ and $0 < p \le 1$ be given. We say that a graph $G_r(N)$ has the property $\mathscr{C}^k_{N,p}(\xi)$ if for every $U \subseteq V^{(\ell+1)}$ and every family $\mathcal{F}_k \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ of pairwise disjoint k-sets with*

(i) $|\mathcal{F}_k| \le \xi N$ *and*

(ii) $|U| \le |\mathcal{F}_k|$,

we have $e_\Gamma(\mathcal{F}_k, U) < 6p^k|U||\mathcal{F}_k|$.

The following fact tells that for $G_r(N,p)$, **a.a.s.** the corresponding graph $\Gamma(k, G_r(N,p))$ has no dense subgraph.

Fact 2 *For every integer $k \ge 1$ and real $\xi > 0$, there exists $C > 1$ such that if $p > C\big(\frac{\log N}{N}\big)^{1/k}$, then $\mathbb{P}(G_r(N,p) \in \mathscr{C}^k_{N,p}(\xi)) = 1 - o(1)$.*

The following corollary follows immediately from Fact 2 since $\big(\frac{\log N}{N}\big)^{1/\Delta} \ge \big(\frac{\log N}{N}\big)^{1/k}$ for $1 \le k \le \Delta$.

Corollary 1 *For every integer $\Delta \ge 1$ and real $\xi > 0$, there exists $C > 1$ such that if $p > C\big(\frac{\log N}{N}\big)^{1/\Delta}$, then $\mathbb{P}(G_r(N,p) \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\Delta} \mathscr{C}^k_{N,p}(\xi)) = 1 - o(1)$.*

Proof of Fact 2. Let $\mathcal{F}_k$ and U be defined as in Definition 3. Note that if X is a binomial random variable $Bi(M,q)$ then $\mathbb{P}(X \ge t) \le q^t\binom{M}{t} \le (eqM/t)^t$. So for fixed pair $(\mathcal{F}_k, U)$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\big(e_\Gamma(\mathcal{F}_k, U) \ge 6p^k|U||\mathcal{F}_k|\big) \le \Big(\frac{e}{6}\Big)^{6\xi N p^k|\mathcal{F}_k|}.$$

Moreover, the number of choices for the pair $(\mathcal{F}_k, U)$ is at most

$$\sum_{f=1}^{\xi N}\sum_{u=1}^{f}\binom{\binom{r}{k}N^k}{f}\binom{N}{u}.$$

Therefore, the probability $G_r(N,p) \notin \mathscr{C}^k_{N,p}(\xi)$ can be bounded from above by

$$\sum_{f=1}^{\xi N}\sum_{u=1}^{f}\exp\Big(kf\log(rN) + u\log N - 6\xi N p^k f\log(2\xi N/u)\Big)$$
$$\leq \sum_{f=1}^{\xi N}\sum_{u=1}^{f}\exp\Big((2k+1-6\xi C^k)f\log N\Big),$$

which will tends to 0 as $N\to\infty$ if we choose C such that $C^k > \frac{k+2}{3\xi}$. □

Now, let us tend to discuss the last property we need for the random r-partite graph $G_r(N,p)$. Let us first give the definitions for classes $\mathcal{B}^I_p$ and $\mathcal{B}^{II}_p$ of "bad" tripartite graphs.

Definition 4 *Let integers m_1, m_2 and m_3 and reals $\alpha, \epsilon', \epsilon, \mu > 0$ and $0 < p \leq 1$ be given.*

- *Let $\mathcal{B}^I_p(m_1,m_2,m_3,\alpha,\epsilon',\epsilon,\mu)$ be the family of tripartite graphs with three color classes X, Y and Z, where $|X| = m_1$, $|Y| = m_2$ and $|Z| = m_3$, satisfying*

  (a) (X,Y) and (Y,Z) are (ϵ,α,p)-dense pairs and

  (b) there exists $X' \subseteq X$ with $|X'| \geq \mu|X|$ such that for every $x \in X'$ the pair $(N(x)\cap Y, Z)$ is not (ϵ',α,p)-dense.

- *Let $\mathcal{B}^{II}_p(m_1,m_2,m_3,\alpha,\epsilon',\epsilon,\mu)$ be the family of tripartite graphs with three color classes X, Y and Z, where $|X| = m_1$, $|Y| = m_2$ and $|Z| = m_3$, satisfying*

  (a) (X,Y), (X,Z) and (Y,Z) are (ϵ,α,p)-dense pairs and

  (b) there exists $X' \subseteq X$ with $|X'| \geq \mu|X|$ such that for every $x \in X'$ the pair $(N(x)\cap Y, N(x)\cap Z)$ is not (ϵ',α,p)-dense.

In the following, we define the family of graph $\mathscr{D}^{\Delta}_{N,p}$ that contains no element of $\mathcal{B}^I_p \cup \mathcal{B}^{II}_p$.

Definition 5 *For integers N and $\Delta \geq 2$ and reals $\alpha, \gamma, \epsilon', \epsilon, \mu > 0$ and $0 < p \leq 1$ we say that a graph $G_r(N)$ has the property $\mathscr{D}^{\Delta}_{N,p}(\gamma,\alpha,\epsilon',\epsilon,\mu)$ if $G_r(N)$ contains no member from*

$$\mathcal{B}^I_p(m^I_1,m^I_2,m^I_3,\alpha,\epsilon',\epsilon,\mu)\cup\mathcal{B}^{II}_p(m^{II}_1,m^{II}_2,m^{II}_3,\alpha,\epsilon',\epsilon,\mu)$$

with $m^I_1, m^I_3 \geq \gamma p^{\Delta-1}N$ and $m^I_2, m^{II}_1, m^{II}_2, m^{II}_3 \geq \gamma p^{\Delta-2}N$ as a subgraph.

The following fact tells us that the random r-partite graph $G_r(N,p)$ has the property $\mathscr{D}^{\Delta}_{N,p}$ with high probability for suitable p.

Fact 3 *For every integer $\Delta \geq 2$ and positive reals α, ϵ' and μ there exists $\epsilon = \epsilon(\Delta,\alpha,\epsilon',\mu) > 0$ such that for every $\gamma > 0$ there exists $C = C(\Delta,\alpha,\epsilon',\mu,\gamma) > 1$ such that if $p > C(N/\log N)^{1/\Delta}$, then $\mathbb{P}(G_r(N,p) \in \mathscr{D}^{\Delta}_{N,p}(\gamma,\alpha,\epsilon',\epsilon,\mu)) = 1 - o(1)$.*

Before giving a proof for Fact 3, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2 *For all integers $\Delta, \bar{\Delta} \geq 2$ and all reals α, μ, γ and $\epsilon^* > 0$, there exists $C > 1$ and $\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{\bar{\Delta}}$ satisfying $0 < \epsilon_0 \leq \epsilon_1 \leq \cdots \leq \epsilon_{\bar{\Delta}} \leq \epsilon^*$ such that if $p > C(N/\log N)^{1/\Delta}$, then $\mathbb{P}(G_r(N,p) \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\bar{\Delta}} \mathscr{D}^{\Delta}_{N,p}(\gamma, \alpha, \epsilon_k, \epsilon_{k-1}, \mu)) = 1 - o(1)$.*

Proof. Let $\Delta, \bar{\Delta} \geq 2$ and all reals α, μ, γ and $\epsilon^* > 0$ be given. First, set $\epsilon_{\bar{\Delta}} = \epsilon^*$. For $\bar{\Delta} > k \geq 1$, set recursively that $\epsilon_{k-1} = \min\{\epsilon_k, \epsilon(\Delta, \alpha, \epsilon_k, \mu)\}$, where $\epsilon(\Delta, \alpha, \epsilon_k, \mu)$ is given by Fact 3. Then set C to be the maximum of all $C(\Delta, \alpha, \epsilon_k, \mu, \gamma)$ for $k = 1, \ldots, \bar{\Delta}$. Thus, Fact 3 guarantees that **a.a.s.** $G_r(N,p) \in \mathscr{D}^{\Delta}_{N,p}(\gamma, \alpha, \epsilon_k, \epsilon_{k-1}, \mu)$ for $1 \leq k \leq \bar{\Delta}$. □

We first consider Fact 3 for the special case. Define

$$\mathcal{B}^I_p(m, \alpha, \epsilon', \epsilon, \mu) = \mathcal{B}^I_p(pm, m, pm, \alpha, \epsilon', \epsilon, \mu)$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}^{II}_p(m, \alpha, \epsilon', \epsilon, \mu) = \mathcal{B}^{II}_p(m, m, m, \alpha, \epsilon', \epsilon, \mu)$$

Similarly, for integers N and $\Delta \geq 2$ and reals $\alpha, \gamma, \epsilon', \epsilon, \mu > 0$ and $0 < p \leq 1$, we say that a graph $G_r(N)$ has the property $\widehat{\mathscr{D}}^{\Delta}_{N,p}(\gamma, \alpha, \epsilon', \epsilon, \mu)$ if $G_r(N)$ contains no member from $\mathcal{B}^I_p(m, \alpha, \epsilon', \epsilon, \mu) \cup \mathcal{B}^{II}_p(m, \alpha, \epsilon', \epsilon, \mu)$ as a subgraph.

Lemma 2 *For $\Delta \geq 2$ and $\alpha, \epsilon', \mu \in (0,1]$ there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $\gamma \in (0,1]$ there exists $C \geq 1$ such that if $p > C(N/\log N)^{1/\Delta}$, then $\mathbb{P}(G_r(N,p) \in \widehat{\mathscr{D}}^{\Delta}_{N,p}(\gamma, \alpha, \epsilon', \epsilon, \mu)) = 1 - o(1)$.*

Proof. Let $\Delta \geq 2$ and $\alpha, \epsilon', \mu \in (0,1]$ be given, and let

$$\beta = \frac{\alpha^2}{4e^2}\Big(\frac{1}{e}\Big)^{8/(\alpha\mu)}. \tag{1}$$

For α, β and ϵ', there exist ϵ_1 and L_1, and ϵ_2 and L_2 according to Theorem **??** and Corollary 5, respectively. Fix $\epsilon = \min\{\alpha/2, \mu/4, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\}$, and for every $\gamma > 0$ we set $C = (4/\gamma)^{1/\Delta}$, and let N be sufficiently large.

First we show that **a.a.s.** $G_r(N,p)$ contains no element from

$$\mathcal{B}^{II}_p(m, \alpha, \epsilon', \epsilon, \mu).$$

Let T be the tripartite graph with color classes X, Y and Z from $\mathcal{B}^{II}_p(m, \alpha, \epsilon', \epsilon, \mu)$. From Definition 4, the bipartite subgraphs $T[X,Y]$, $T[X,Z]$ and $T[Y,Z]$ of T contain at least $(\alpha - \epsilon)pm^2$ edges each. Also, there is a set $X' \subseteq X$ with $|X'| \geq \mu|X|$ such that for every $x \in X'$ the pair $(N_T(x) \cap Y, N_T(x) \cap Z)$ is not (ϵ', α, p)-dense. Set

$$X'' = \{x \in X' : |N_T(x) \cap Y| \geq \alpha pm/2 \text{ and } |N_T(x) \cap Z| \geq \alpha pm/2\}.$$

Since (X,Y) and (X,Z) are (ϵ, α, p)-dense, we have $|X''| \geq (1 - 2\epsilon/\mu)|X'| \geq |X'|/2 \geq \mu pm/2$. Choose a subset $X''' \subseteq X''$ with $|X'''| = \mu pm/2$.

Fix $x \in X'''$. Similarly, there are sets $Y'_x \subseteq N_T(x) \cap Y$ and $Z'_x \subseteq N_T(x) \cap Z$ of size $\epsilon' \alpha pm/2$ such that $d_{T,p}(Y'_x, Z'_x) < \alpha - \epsilon'$. Now let Y_x and Z_x be such that $Y'_x \subseteq Y_x \subseteq N_T(x) \cap Y$ and

$Z'_x \subseteq Z_x \subseteq N_T(x) \cap Z$ with $|Y_x| = |Z_x| = \alpha pm/2$. Then, (Y_x, Z_x) is not (ϵ', α, p)-dense. Thus, we have a family $\{(Y_x, Z_x) : x \in X'''\}$ such that each pair in which is not (ϵ', α, p)-dense.

Note that $\alpha pm/2 \geq L_2/p$ if N is large. Hence, apply Corollary 5 to $T[Y, Z]$, the probability that $T[X''', Y, Z]$ appears in $G_r(N, p)$ can be bounded from above by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{t \geq (\alpha-\epsilon)pm^2} \binom{r}{3}\binom{N}{m}^3 \binom{m^2}{t} p^t \cdot \left(\beta^{\alpha pm/2}\binom{m}{\alpha pm/2}^2\right)^{\mu pm/2} p^{\mu\alpha pm^2/2} \\
&\leq \sum_{t \geq (\alpha-\epsilon)pm^2} \binom{r}{3}\binom{N}{m}^3 \left(\frac{pm^2 e}{t}\right)^t \cdot \left(\beta^{1/2}\frac{e}{\alpha/2}\right)^{\mu\alpha pm^2/2} \\
&< pm^2 r^3 N^{3m} \left(e\left(\frac{2e}{\alpha}\right)^{\mu\alpha/2} \beta^{\mu\alpha/4}\right)^{pm^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds as the function $f(t) = (pm^2 e/t)^t$ is maximized for $t = pm^2$. Note that $e(\frac{2e}{\alpha})^{\mu\alpha/2}\beta^{\mu\alpha/4} = 1/e$ and pm^2 is much larger than $m \log N$, we have the right-hand side of the last inequality tends to 0 as $N \to \infty$.

The fact that **a.a.s.** $G_r(N, p)$ contains no element from $\mathcal{B}_p^I(m, \alpha, \epsilon', \epsilon, \mu)$ is similar (indeed a little simpler) as above except some differences in calculation, and so we omit the proof. □

Now, Fact 3 follows immediately from Lemma 2 and the following result obtained in ([35], Claim 18 and the remark afterwards).

Lemma 3 *For an integer $\Delta \geq 2$ and positive reals α, ϵ', μ and $\widehat{\epsilon}$ there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $\gamma > 0$ there exists $C > 1$ and N_0 such that if $N \geq N_0$ and $p > C(\log N/N)^{1/\Delta}$, then every tripartite graph $T \in \mathcal{B}_p^I(m_1^I, m_2^I, m_3^I, \alpha, \epsilon', \epsilon, \mu) \cup \mathcal{B}_p^{II}(m_1^{II}, m_2^{II}, m_3^{II}, \alpha, \epsilon', \epsilon, \mu)$ with $m_1^I, m_3^I \geq \gamma p^{\Delta-1} N$ and $m_2^I, m_1^{II}, m_2^{II}, m_3^{II} \geq \gamma p^{\Delta-2} N$ contains a subgraph $\widehat{T} \in \mathcal{B}_p^I(m, \alpha, \epsilon', \epsilon, \mu) \cup \mathcal{B}_p^{II}(m, \alpha, \epsilon', \epsilon, \mu)$.*

4 Sparse partition universal multipartite Graphs for $\mathcal{H}(\Delta, n)$

In this section, we will show Theorem 3, i.e., for fixed $\Delta \geq 2$, we can choose suitable constants $r = r(\Delta)$, $B = B(\Delta)$ and $C = C(\Delta)$ such that for any $H \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta, n)$ if $N \geq Bn$ and $p = C(\log N/N)^{1/\Delta}$, then **a.a.s.** the random graph $G_r(N, p) \to (H, H)$. A key tool for the proof is an elegant embedding method developed in [35].

Lemma 4 *For every $\Delta \geq 2$ there exist $\bar{\Delta} \geq 2$ and positive constants $\mu, \alpha, \epsilon^*, \xi$ and $\gamma > 0$ and $B > 1$ and n_0 such that for every $\epsilon_0, \ldots, \epsilon_{\bar{\Delta}}$ satisfying $0 < \epsilon_0 \leq \cdots \leq \epsilon_{\bar{\Delta}} \leq \epsilon^*$ and for every $n \geq n_0$ the following holds. If $G_r(N)$ is a r-partite graph has r-color classes $V^{(1)}, V^{(2)}, \ldots, V^{(r)}$ with $|V^{(i)}| = N \geq Bn$ $(1 \leq i \leq r)$ such that for some $0 < p \leq 1$ we have*

(i) $G_r(N) \in \mathscr{U}_{N,p}$,

(ii) $G_r(N) \in \mathscr{C}^k_{N,p}(\xi)$ for every $k = 1, \ldots, \Delta$, and

(iii) $G_r(N) \in \mathscr{D}^{\Delta}_{N,p}(\gamma, \alpha, \epsilon_k, \epsilon_{k-1}, \mu)$ for every $k = 1, \ldots, \bar{\Delta}$,

then $G_r(N) \to (H, H)$ for $H \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta, n)$.

Before we prove Lemma 4, we deduce Theorem 3 from it.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $\Delta \geq 2$ be given, Lemma 4 yields constants $\bar{\Delta} \geq 2$ and $\mu, \alpha, \epsilon^*, \xi$ and $\gamma > 0$ and $B > 1$ and n_0. Moreover, from Fact 1, Corollary 1 and Corollary 2, there exists a constant C such that for $p > C(\log N/N)^{1/\Delta}$ the random r-partite graph $G_r(N,p)$ **a.a.s.** satisfies the assumptions (i), (ii) and (iii). Therefore, Lemma 4 asserts that **a.a.s.** $G_r(N,p) \to (H,H)$ for every $H \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta, n)$ whenever $N \geq Bn$, which completes the proof of Theorem 3. □

In order to prove Lemma 4, we also need the following result which relates to Turán number for K_r in complete r-partite graph $K_r(k)$. Although the proof of the following lemma is simple, it is crucial in the procedure of embedding bounded degree graph to random multipartite graph.

Lemma 5 *For integers $k \geq 1$ and $r \geq 2$, let $t_r(k)$ be the maximum number of edges in a subgraph of $K_r(k)$ that contains no K_r. We have*

$$t_r(k) = \left[\binom{r}{2} - 1\right] k^2.$$

Proof. The lower bound for $t_r(k)$ follows by deleting all edges between a pair of color classes of $K_r(k)$. On the other hand, we shall prove by induction of k that if a subgraph $G = G(V^{(1)}, \ldots, V^{(r)})$ of $K_r(k)$ contains no K_r, then $e(G) \leq \left[\binom{r}{2} - 1\right] k^2$. Suppose $k \geq 2$ and $r \geq 3$ as it is trivial for $k = 1$ or $r = 2$. Now, suppose that G has the maximum possible number of edges subject to this condition. Then G must contain $K_r - e$ as a subgraph, otherwise we could add an edge and the resulting graph would still not contain K_r. Denote the vertex set of this $K_r - e$ by X, we have $|X \cap V^{(i)}| = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. Without loss of generality, suppose $e = \{v_1, v_2\}$, where $v_1 \in V^{(1)}$ and $v_2 \in V^{(2)}$. Note that G contains no K_r, we have for $i = 1, 2$ there is no vertex in $V^{(i)} \setminus \{v_i\}$ is adjacent to all the vertices of $X \setminus \{v_i\}$. Thus, together with the induction hypothesis, we can deduce that there are at least

$$(k-1)^2 + 2(k-1) + 1 = k^2$$

edges should be deleted from $K_r(k)$, which completes the induction step hence the proof. □

Now, we are ready to give a proof for Lemma 4.

Proof of Lemma 4. The proof consists of four parts. Firstly, we fix all constants needed in the proof. Secondly, we consider the given r-partite graph $G_r(N)$ along with a fixed 2-coloring of its edges. In order to embed every graph $H \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta, n)$ into one of the two monochromatic subgraphs of $G_r(N)$, we first prepare the graph $G_r(N)$ and here the sparse multipartite regularity lemma will be the key tool. Thirdly, we shall prepare a given graph $H \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta, n)$ for the embedding. Finally, we will embed H into a monochromatic subgraph of $G_r(N)$.

Let $\Delta \geq 2$ be fixed, and let $\bar{\Delta} = \Delta^4 + \Delta$ and $r = R(K_{\bar{\Delta}})$. The constants $\mu, \alpha, \epsilon^*, \xi, \gamma, B$ and n_0 involved in the proof of Lemma 4 are defined as follows. Set

$$\mu = \frac{1}{4\Delta^2}, \ \ \alpha = \frac{1}{3}, \ \text{ and } \ \epsilon^* = \frac{1}{6\Delta}. \tag{2}$$

Let

$$\epsilon = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}, \ \ \lambda = 2, \ \text{ and } \ t_0 = 1 \tag{3}$$

be given, there exist T_0, η and N_0 that are guaranteed by the sparse multipartite regularity lemma, Theorem 4. Finally, we set

$$\xi = \frac{1}{6 \cdot 4^{\Delta+1} \cdot T_0}, \ \ \gamma = \frac{1-\epsilon}{4^{\Delta-1} T_0}, \ \ B = \frac{1}{\xi}, \tag{4}$$

and

$$n_0 = \max\left\{\frac{N_0}{B}, \ e^{1/\eta}, \ e^{T_0/\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}\right\}. \tag{5}$$

Now, let $\epsilon_0, \ldots, \epsilon_{\bar{\Delta}}$ satisfy

$$0 < \epsilon_0 \leq \cdots \leq \epsilon_{\bar{\Delta}} \leq \epsilon^* = \frac{1}{6\Delta} \tag{6}$$

and let $n \geq n_0$ be given. Let $G_r(N)$ be the r-partite graph which has r-color classes $V^{(1)}, \ldots, V^{(r)}$ with $|V^{(i)}| = N$ $(1 \leq i \leq r)$, where $N \geq Bn \geq N_0$, satisfies assumptions (i)-(iii) of Lemma 4. Denote $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} V^{(i)}$. Consider an arbitrary red/blue edge coloring of $G_r(N)$, and let $G_R = (V, E_R)$ and $G_B = (V, E_B)$ be the corresponding monochromatic subgraphs.

In the following, we apply the sparse multipartite regularity lemma with $\epsilon = \epsilon_0/2$, $\lambda = 2$, $t_0 = 1$, and some p to G_R. From property (i) of Lemma 4, the graph $G_r(N)$ is $(1/\log N, 1+1/\log N)$-bounded (see Definition 2). Since $G_R \subseteq G_r(N)$ and $1/\log N \leq \eta \leq 1$ from (5), we have G_R is (η, λ)-bounded.

Consequently, from Theorem 4, we have an partition $\left\{V_0^{(i)}, V_1^{(i)}, \cdots, V_t^{(i)}\right\}$ for each $V^{(i)}$, where t is same for each $V^{(i)}$ and $t_0 \leq t \leq T_0$, such that

(i) $|V_0^{(i)}| \leq \epsilon N$ and $|V_1^{(i)}| = \cdots = |V_t^{(i)}|$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$;

(ii) All but at most ϵt^2 pairs $\left(V_a^{(i)}, V_b^{(j)}\right)$ are $(\epsilon, p) - regular$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ and $1 \leq a, b \leq t$.

Let F be the subgraph of r-partite complete graph $K_r(t)$, whose vertices are $\left\{V_a^{(i)} \mid 1 \leq a \leq t, 1 \leq i \leq r\right\}$ in which a pair $\left(V_a^{(i)}, V_b^{(j)}\right)$ for $i \neq j$ is adjacent if and only if the pair is (ϵ, p)-regular in G_R. Then the number of edges of F is at least

$$t^2 \binom{r}{2} - \epsilon t^2 > \left[\binom{r}{2} - 1\right] t^2 = t_r(t).$$

Hence, Lemma 5 implies that F contains a complete graph K_r with r vertices. Without loss of generality, assume that $V_1^{(1)}, \ldots, V_1^{(r)}$ are pairwise (ϵ, p)-regular for G_R. For convenience, let us denote $V_1^{(1)}, \ldots, V_1^{(r)}$ by $A_1, \ldots, A_r$. Moreover, since $G_r(N) \in \mathscr{U}_{N,p}$, we have $|d_{G_R,p}(A_i, A_j) + d_{G_B,p}(A_i, A_j) - 1| \leq 1/\log N$. Note from (5) that $N/\log N \leq \epsilon(1-\epsilon)N/T_0 \leq \epsilon|A_i|$, we have (A_i, A_j) is $(\epsilon + 2/\log N, p)$-regular for the graph G_B. From (3) and (5), we have $\epsilon + 2/\log N \leq \epsilon_0$ and, hence, (A_i, A_j) is (ϵ_0, p)-regular both for G_R and G_B for all $\{i, j\} \in \binom{[r]}{2}$. Therefore,

$$\max\{d_{G_R,p}(A_i, A_j), d_{G_B,p}(A_i, A_j)\} \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\log N} \geq \frac{1}{3}$$

for every $\{i,j\} \in \binom{[r]}{2}$.

Color an edge $\{i,j\} \in \binom{[r]}{2}$ red if $d_{G_R,p}(A_i,A_j) \geq d_{G_B,p}(A_i,A_j)$ and blue otherwise. Since $r \geq R(K_{\bar{\Delta}})$, we can suppose without loss of generality that there exists a monochromatic red clique $K_{\bar{\Delta}}$ with vertex set $[\bar{\Delta}] \subseteq [r]$ satisfying

$$(A_i,A_j) \text{ is } (\epsilon_0,p)\text{-regular for } G_R \text{ and } d_{G_R,p}(A_i,A_j) \geq 1/3 \text{ for all } \{i,j\} \in \binom{[\bar{\Delta}]}{2}, \tag{7}$$

and we shall show that G_R induced on $\bigcup_{i\in[\bar{\Delta}]} A_i$ contain any $H \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta,n)$.

Preparing H. Fix $H = (W,E(H)) \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta,n)$. Define the third power $H^3 = (W,E(H^3))$ of H such that $\{w,w'\} \in E(H^3)$ if and only if $w \neq w'$ and there exists a $w-w'$-path with at most three edges in H. Since $\Delta(H) \leq \Delta$, we have $\Delta(H^3) \leq \Delta+\Delta(\Delta-1)+\Delta(\Delta-1)^2 = \Delta^3-\Delta^2+\Delta$. Hence, H^3 can not be a complete graph as $|W| = n$ is large. Consequently, $\chi(H^3) \leq \Delta(H^3) \leq \Delta^3-\Delta^2+\Delta$. Let "$f$" be a $(\Delta^3-\Delta^2+\Delta)$-vertex coloring of H^3. We say two vertices w and w' are equivalent according to "f" if $f(w) = f(w')$, and

$$|x \in N_H(w) : f(x) < f(w)| = |x \in N_H(w) : f(x) < f(w')|,$$

i.e., with same "left-degrees" — the number of neighbors with colors of smaller number. This equivalence relation partitions W into at most $(\Delta^3-\Delta^2+\Delta)(\Delta+1) = \bar{\Delta}$ classes as each vertex has "left-degrees" at most Δ. Denote the partition classes by $W_1,\ldots,W_{\bar{\Delta}}$ (may have empty classes) and let $g : W \to [\bar{\Delta}]$ be the corresponding partition function, i.e., $g(w) = j$ if and only if $w \in W_j$. Thus, if $w,w' \in W_j$, then $|x \in N_H(w) : g(x) < g(w)| = |x \in N_H(w) : g(x) < g(w')|$. For $\ell \leq g(w)$, denote the "*left-degree*" of w with respect to g and ℓ by

$$\mathrm{ldeg}_g^\ell(w) = |x \in N_H(w) : g(x) \leq \ell|.$$

Note that if $w,w' \in W_j$, then their distance in H is at least four, which implies

$$|N_H(w) \cap N_H(w')| = 0 \text{ and } e_H(N_H(w),N_H(w')) = 0. \tag{8}$$

Embedding of H to G_R. Now, we will embed the vertex class W_ℓ into A_ℓ one at a time, for $\ell = 1,\ldots,\bar{\Delta}$. Indeed, we shall inductively verify the following statement $(\mathcal{S}_\ell)$ for $\ell = 0,1,\ldots,\bar{\Delta}$.

$(\mathcal{S}_\ell)$ There is an embedding φ_ℓ of $H[\cup_{j=1}^{\ell} W_j]$ into $G_R[\cup_{j=1}^{\ell} A_j]$ such that for every $z \in \cup_{j=\ell+1}^{\bar{\Delta}} W_j$, there exists a *candidate set* $C_\ell(z) \subseteq A_{g(z)}$ given by

$$(a)\ C_\ell(z) = \left(\bigcap_{x\in N_H(z),\, g(x)\leq \ell} N_{G_R}(\varphi_\ell(x)) \right) \cap A_{g(z)},$$

satisfying

(b) $|C_\ell(z)| \geq (p/4)^{\mathrm{ldeg}_g^\ell(z)} m$, where $m = |A_{g(z)}| \geq (1-\epsilon)N/t$, and

(c) $\big(C_\ell(z),C_\ell(z')\big)$ is $(\epsilon_\ell,1/3,p)$-dense in G_R for any edge $\{z,z'\} \in E(H)$ with $g(z),g(z') > \ell$.

Remark. The definition of $C_\ell(z)$ implies that if we embed z into $C_\ell(z)$, then its image will be adjacent to all vertices $\varphi_\ell(x)$ with $x \in N_H(z) \cap (W_1 \cup \cdots \cup W_\ell)$.

Note that $(\mathcal{S}_{\bar{\Delta}})$ gives an embedding $\varphi_{\bar{\Delta}}$ of $H[\cup_{j=1}^{\bar{\Delta}} W_j]$ into $G_R[\cup_{j=1}^{\bar{\Delta}} A_j]$, so G_R contains H as a subgraph. Thus, verifying $(\mathcal{S}_\ell)$ inductively for $\ell = 0, 1, \ldots, \bar{\Delta}$ completes the proof of Lemma 4. In the following, we shall use the letter "x" for vertices that have been embedded, "y" for that will be embedded in the current step, while "z" for that we shall embed at a later step.

Let us verify $(\mathcal{S}_0)$ at first. In this case, φ_0 is the empty mapping and for every $z \in W$, we have $C_0(z) = A_{g(z)}$ according to (a) as there is no vertex $x \in N_H(z)$ with $g(x) \leq 0$. So property (b) follows directly, and property (c) follows from (7).

For the inductive step, suppose $(\mathcal{S}_\ell)$ holds for $\ell < \bar{\Delta}$, and we shall verify $(\mathcal{S}_{\ell+1})$ by embedding $W_{\ell+1}$ into $A_{\ell+1}$ with the required properties. Note from (8) that $|N_H(z) \cap W_{\ell+1}| \leq 1$ for every $z \in \cup_{j=\ell+2}^{\bar{\Delta}} W_j$. Hence, for every "right-neighbor" $z \in \bigcup_{j=\ell+2}^{\bar{\Delta}} W_j$ of $y \in W_{\ell+1}$, the new candidate set will be $C_{\ell+1}(z) = C_\ell(z) \cap N_{G_R}(\varphi_{\ell+1}(y))$. For each $y \in W_{\ell+1}$, we should find a suitable subset $C(y) \subseteq C_\ell(y)$ such that if $\varphi_{\ell+1}(y)$ is chosen from $C(y)$, then the new candidate set $C_{\ell+1}(z)$ will satisfy properties (b) and (c) of $(\mathcal{S}_{\ell+1})$. However, since in general $|C(y)| \leq |C_\ell(y)| = o(|A_{g(z)}|)$ if $\mathrm{ldeg}_g^\ell(y) \geq 1$, we should select $\varphi_{\ell+1}(y)$ from $C(y)$ carefully such that if $y \neq y'$ then $\varphi_{\ell+1}(y) \neq \varphi_{\ell+1}(y')$. Here we shall apply Hall's condition, a similar idea was used in [6, 38, 35]. The details are contained in the following two claims.

Fix $y \in W_{\ell+1}$ and denote the "right neighbors" of y by

$$N_H^{\ell+1}(y) = \{z \in N_H(y) : g(z) > \ell + 1\}.$$

For a vertex $v \in C_\ell(y)$, let $\widehat{C}_\ell(z) = N_{G_R}(v) \cap C_\ell(z)$ if $z \in N_H^{\ell+1}(y)$ and $\widehat{C}_\ell(z) = C_\ell(z)$ if $z \notin N_H^{\ell+1}(y)$.

Claim 1. For every $y \in W_{\ell+1}$, there exists a subset $C(y) \subseteq C_\ell(y)$ with $|C(y)| \geq (1 - \Delta\epsilon_\ell - \Delta^2\mu)|C_\ell(y)|$ such that for every $v \in C(y)$, the following (b') and (c') hold.

(b') $|N_{G_R}(v) \cap C_\ell(z)| \geq (p/4)^{\mathrm{ldeg}_g^{\ell+1}(z)}|A_{g(z)}|$ for every $z \in N_H^{\ell+1}(y)$,

(c') $(\widehat{C}_\ell(z), \widehat{C}_\ell(z'))$ is $(\epsilon_{\ell+1}, 1/3, p)$-dense for all edges $\{z, z'\}$ of H with $g(z)$, $g(z') > \ell + 1$ and $\{z, z'\} \cap N_H^{\ell+1}(y) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Fix $z \in N_H^{\ell+1}(y)$. Since $(C_\ell(y), C_\ell(z))$ is an $(\epsilon_\ell, 1/3, p)$-dense pair from (c) of $(\mathcal{S}_\ell)$, we have there exist at most $\epsilon_\ell|C_\ell(y)|$ vertices $v \in C_\ell(y)$ such that

$$|N_{G_R}(v) \cap C_\ell(z)| < \Big(\frac{1}{3} - \epsilon_\ell\Big)p|C_\ell(y)|.$$

Note that $|N_H^{\ell+1}(y)| \leq \Delta$, we have from (b) and (c) of $(\mathcal{S}_\ell)$ that all but at most $\Delta\epsilon_\ell|C_\ell(y)|$ vertices $v \in C_\ell(y)$ satisfy that for every $z \in N_H^{\ell+1}(y)$,

$$|N_{G_R}(v) \cap C_\ell(z)| \geq \Big(\frac{1}{3} - \epsilon_\ell\Big)p\Big(\frac{p}{4}\Big)^{\mathrm{ldeg}_g^\ell(z)}|A_{g(z)}| \overset{(6)}{\geq} \Big(\frac{p}{4}\Big)^{\mathrm{ldeg}_g^{\ell+1}(z)}|A_{g(z)}|.$$

Now, fix an edge $e = \{z, z'\}$ with $g(z), g(z') > \ell + 1$ and with at least one end vertex in $N_H^{\ell+1}(y)$. Clearly, there are at most $\Delta(\Delta - 1) < \Delta^2$ such edges.

If both vertices z and z' are neighbors of y, i.e., $z, z' \in N_H^{\ell+1}(y)$, then

$$\max\{\mathrm{ldeg}_g^\ell(y), \mathrm{ldeg}_g^\ell(z), \mathrm{ldeg}_g^\ell(z')\} \leq \Delta - 2,$$

since y, z, and z' have at least two neighbors in $W_{\ell+1} \cup \cdots \cup W_{\bar{\Delta}}$. Hence, property (b) of $(\mathcal{S}_\ell)$ implies

$$\min\{|C_\ell(y)|, |C_\ell(z)|, |C_\ell(z')|\} \geq \Big(\frac{p}{4}\Big)^{\Delta-2}(1-\epsilon)\frac{N}{T_0} \geq \gamma p^{\Delta-2}N.$$

Recall that $\alpha = 1/3$, see (2). Hence $G_R \subseteq G_r(N)$ and $G_r(N) \in \mathscr{D}^{\Delta}_{N,p}(\gamma, \alpha, \epsilon_{\ell+1}, \epsilon_\ell, \mu)$ imply that there are at most $\mu|C_\ell(y)|$ vertices $v \in C_\ell(y)$ such that the pair $(N_{G_R}(v) \cap C_\ell(z), N_{G_R}(v) \cap C_\ell(z'))$ is not $(\epsilon_{\ell+1}, 1/3, p)$-dense.

If, on the other hand, say, only $z \in N_H^{\ell+1}(y)$ and $z' \notin N_H^{\ell+1}(y)$, then

$$\max\{\mathrm{ldeg}_g^\ell(y), \mathrm{ldeg}_g^\ell(z')\} \leq \Delta - 1 \text{ and } \mathrm{ldeg}_g^\ell(z) \leq \Delta - 2.$$

Hence, similarly, we have $\min\{|C_\ell(y)|, |C_\ell(z')|\} \geq \gamma p^{\Delta-1}N$ and $|C_\ell(z)| \geq \gamma p^{\Delta-2}N$. Thus, the fact $G_r(N) \in \mathscr{D}^{\Delta}_{N,p}(\gamma, \alpha, \epsilon_{\ell+1}, \epsilon_\ell, \mu)$ implies that there are at most $\mu|C_\ell(y)|$ vertices $v \in C_\ell(y)$ such that the pair $(N_{G_R}(v) \cap C_\ell(z), C_\ell(z'))$ is not $(\epsilon_{\ell+1}, 1/3, p)$-dense.

Therefore, for either case, deleting all "bad" vertices from $C_\ell(y)$, we find a subset $C(y) \subseteq C_\ell(y)$ with size $|C(y)| \geq (1 - \Delta\epsilon_\ell - \Delta^2\mu)|C_\ell(y)|$ such that for every $v \in C(y)$, the following (b') and (c') hold. □

Now, let us turn to the second part of the inductive step. We shall choose $\varphi_{\ell+1}(y) \in C(y)$ such that $\varphi_{\ell+1}(y) \neq \varphi_{\ell+1}(y')$ for two vertices $y, y' \in W_{\ell+1}$. This can be achieved from the following claim.

Claim 2. There is an injective mapping $\psi : W_{\ell+1} \to \bigcup_{y \in W_{\ell+1}} C(y)$ such that $\psi(y) \in C(y)$ for every $y \in W_{\ell+1}$.

Proof. It suffices to verify Hall's condition that for every $Y \subseteq W_{\ell+1}$,

$$|Y| \leq \Big|\bigcup_{y \in Y} C(y)\Big|. \tag{9}$$

Recall that $\mathrm{ldeg}_g^\ell(y) = \mathrm{ldeg}_g^\ell(y')$ for any two distinct vertices $y, y' \in W_{\ell+1}$ and so we can set

$$k = \mathrm{ldeg}_g^\ell(y) \text{ for all } y \in W_{\ell+1}. \tag{10}$$

From Claim 1, property (b) of $(\mathcal{S}_\ell)$, and (2) and (6), we have that

$$|C(y)| \geq (1 - \Delta\epsilon_\ell - \Delta^2\mu)|C_\ell(y)| \geq (1 - \Delta\epsilon_\ell - \Delta^2\mu)\Big(\frac{p}{4}\Big)^k(1-\epsilon)\frac{N}{T_0} \geq \frac{1}{4^{k+1}}p^k\frac{N}{T_0}. \tag{11}$$

Hence, the assertion (9) holds if $|Y| \leq 4^{-k-1}p^kN/T_0$. Thus, we suppose that $|Y| > 4^{-k-1}p^kN/T_0$. Denote the k-tuple $K(y) = \{u_1(y), \ldots, u_k(y)\}$ by the neighbors of y that have been embedded already. Clearly, $K(y) = N_H(y) \setminus N_H^{\ell+1}(y)$. From (8), we have that

$$|K(y) \cap W_i| \leq 1, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq \ell;$$
$$K(y) \cap K(y') = \emptyset, \text{ for distinct vertices } y, y' \in W_{\ell+1}.$$

Thus, the sets of already embedded vertices $\varphi_\ell(K(y))$ and $\varphi_\ell(K(y'))$ are disjoint as well and, therefore, $\mathcal{F}_k = \{\varphi_\ell(K(y)) : y \in Y\} \subseteq \binom{V_1\cup\cdots\cup V_\ell}{k}$ is a family of pairwise disjoint k-sets with $|\varphi_\ell(K(y)) \cap A_i| \le 1$ for $1 \le i \le \ell$. Note that

$$C(y) \subseteq C_\ell(y) = \Big(\bigcap_{x\in K(y)} N_{G_R}(\varphi_\ell(x)) \Big) \cap A_{\ell+1}.$$

Let $U = \bigcup_{y\in Y} C(y) \subseteq A_{\ell+1}$. Suppose to the contrary that

$$|U| < |Y| = |\mathcal{F}_k|. \tag{12}$$

Note that $|Y| \le |W_{\ell+1}| \le n \le \xi N$, we have $e_\Gamma(\mathcal{F}_k, U) < 6p^k|U||\mathcal{F}_k| \le 6\xi N p^k |\mathcal{F}_k|$ since $G_r(N) \in \mathscr{C}^k_{N,p}(\xi)$ from property (ii) of Lemma 4. However, the fact that $\varphi_\ell(K(y))$ and $C(y)$ form a complete bipartite graph in G_R, which together with (11) yield

$$e_\Gamma(\mathcal{F}_k, U) \ge \frac{1}{4^{k+1}} p^k \frac{N}{T_0} |\mathcal{F}_k| \overset{(4)}{\ge} 6\xi N p^k |\mathcal{F}_k|,$$

which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of the claim. □

From Claim 2, we can extend φ_ℓ as follows:

$$\varphi_{\ell+1}(w) = \begin{cases} \varphi_\ell(w), & \text{if } w \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} W_j, \\ \psi(w), & \text{if } w \in W_{\ell+1}. \end{cases}$$

From the inductive assumption of $(\mathcal{S}_\ell)$ that φ_ℓ is an embedding of $H[\cup_{j=1}^{\ell} W_j]$ into $G_R[\cup_{j=1}^{\ell} A_j]$ and ψ is injective, we have $\varphi_{\ell+1}$ is indeed a partial embedding of $H[\bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell+1} W_j]$ into $G_R[\cup_{j=1}^{\ell+1} A_j]$.

Recall that $|N_H(z) \cap W_{\ell+1}| \le 1$ for every $z \in \bigcup_{j=\ell+2}^{\bar{\Delta}} W_j$, so we define

$$C_{\ell+1}(z) = \begin{cases} C_\ell(z), & \text{if } N_H(z) \cap W_{\ell+1} = \emptyset, \\ C_\ell(z) \cap N_{G_R}(\varphi_{\ell+1}(y)), & \text{if } N_H(z) \cap W_{\ell+1} = \{y\}. \end{cases}$$

To complete the inductive step, it suffices to verify (a), (b) and (c) of $(\mathcal{S}_{\ell+1})$ for every $z \in \bigcup_{j=\ell+2}^{\bar{\Delta}} W_j$.

Fix $z \in \bigcup_{j=\ell+2}^{\bar{\Delta}} W_j$. If $N_H(z) \cap W_{\ell+1} = \emptyset$, then properties (a) and (b) of $(\mathcal{S}_{\ell+1})$ follows since $C_{\ell+1}(z) = C_\ell(z)$ and $\mathrm{ldeg}_g^{\ell+1}(z) = \mathrm{ldeg}_g^{\ell}(z)$. If $N_H(z) \cap W_{\ell+1} = \{y\}$, then property (a) of $(\mathcal{S}_{\ell+1})$ follows since $C_{\ell+1}(z) = C_\ell(z) \cap N_{G_R}(\varphi_{\ell+1}(y))$, and property (b) of $(\mathcal{S}_{\ell+1})$ follows from (b') of Claim 1.

For property (c) of $(\mathcal{S}_{\ell+1})$, let $\{z, z'\}$ be an edge of H with $z, z' \in \bigcup_{j=\ell+2}^{\bar{\Delta}} W_j$. There are four cases according to the size of $N_H(z) \cap W_{\ell+1}$ and $N_H(z') \cap W_{\ell+1}$. If $N_H(z) \cap W_{\ell+1} = \emptyset$ and $N_H(z') \cap W_{\ell+1} = \emptyset$, then property (c) of $(\mathcal{S}_{\ell+1})$ follows directly from property (c) of $(\mathcal{S}_\ell)$ and $\epsilon_{\ell+1} \ge \epsilon_\ell$. If $N_H(z) \cap W_{\ell+1} = \{y\}$ and $N_H(z') \cap W_{\ell+1} = \emptyset$, then property (c) of $(\mathcal{S}_{\ell+1})$ follows from (c') of Claim 1; the case that $N_H(z) \cap W_{\ell+1} = \emptyset$ and $N_H(z') \cap W_{\ell+1} = \{y'\}$ is similar. If $N_H(z) \cap W_{\ell+1} = \{y\}$ and $N_H(z') \cap W_{\ell+1} = \{y'\}$, then $y = y'$, as otherwise $e_H(N_H(y), N_H(y')) \ge 1$ will contradict (8). Consequently, property (c) of $(\mathcal{S}_{\ell+1})$ follows from (c') of Claim 1.

In conclusion, this completes the induction step and hence the proof of Lemma 4. □

References

[1] N. Alon and V. Asodi, Sparse universal graphs, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 142 (2002), 1-11.

[2] N. Alon and M. Capalbo, Sparse universal graphs for bounded-degree graphs, Random Structures Algoriths 31 (2007), 123-133.

[3] N. Alon and M. Capalbo, Optimal universal graphs with deterministic embedding, Proc. 19th Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (New York), ACM, 2008, 373-378.

[4] N. Alon, M. Capalbo, Y. Kohayakawa, V. Rödl, A. Ruciński and E. Szemerédi, Universality and tolerance (extended abstract), 41st Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (Redondo Beach, CA, 2000), IEEE Comput. Soc. Press, Los Alamitos, CA, 2000, 14-21.

[5] N. Alon, M. Capalbo, Y. Kohayakawa, V. Rödl, A. Ruciński and E. Szemerédi, Near-optimum universal graphs for graphs with bounded degrees (extended abstract), Approximation, randomization, and combinatorial optimization (Berkeley, CA, 2001), Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 2129, Springer, Berlin, 2001, 170-180.

[6] N. Alon and Z. Füredi, Spanning subgraphs of random graphs, Graphs Combin. 8 (1992), 91-94.

[7] N. Alon, M. Krivelevich, and B. Sudakov, Embedding nearly-spanning bounded degree trees, Combinatorica 27 (2007), 629-644.

[8] N. Alon and J. Spencer, *The Probabilistic Method*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1992.

[9] S. Alstrup and T. Rauhe, Small induced-universal graphs and compact implicit graph representations, 43st Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (Vancouver, BC, 2002), IEEE Comput. Soc. Press, 2002, 53-62.

[10] L. Babai, F. Chung, P. Erdős, R. L. Graham, J. Spencer, On graphs which contain all sparse graphs, Ann. Discrete Math. 12 (1982), 21-26.

[11] J. Balogh, B. Csaba, M. Pei, and W. Samotij, Large bounded degree trees in expanding graphs, Electron. J. Combin. 17 (2010), no. 1, Research paper 6.

[12] S. N. Bhatt, F. Chung, F. T. Leighton and A. Rosenberg, Universal graphs for bounded-degree trees and planar graphs, SIAM J. Disc. Math. 2 (1989), 145-155.

[13] B. Bollobás, The chromatic number of random graphs, Combinatorica 8 (1988), 49-55.

[14] M. Capalbo, A small universal graph for bounded-degree planar graphs, *SODA* 1999, 150-154.

[15] M. Capalbo and S. R. Kosaraju, Small universal graphs, Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (Atlanta, GA, 1999), ACM, New York, 1999, 741-749.

[16] H. Chernoff, A measure of the asymptotic efficiency for tests of a hypothesis based on the sum of observations, Ann. Math. Statis. 23 (1952), 493-509.

[17] F. Chung, Universal graphs and induced-universal graphs, J. Graph Theory 14 (1990), 443-454.

[18] F. Chung and R. Graham, On graphs which contain all small trees, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 24 (1978), 14-23.

[19] F. Chung and R. Graham, On universal graphs, Ann. New York Acad. Sci. 319 (1979),136-140.

[20] F. Chung and R. Graham, On universal graphs for spanning trees, Proc. London Math. Soc. 27 (1983), 203-211.

[21] F. Chung, R. Graham, and N. Pippenger, On graphs which contain all small trees II, Proc. 1976 Hungarian Colloq. Combin. (1978), 213-223.

[22] F. Chung, A. L. Rosenberg, and L. Snyder, Perfect storage representations for families of data structures, SIAM J. Alg. Disc. Methods 4 (1983), 548-565.

[23] V. Chvátal, V. Rödl, E. Szemerédi and T. Trotter, The Ramsey Number of a graph with bounded maximum degree, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 34 (1983), 239-243.

[24] D. Dellamonica Jr., Y. Kohayakawa, V. Rödl, and A. Ruciński, Universality of random graphs, Proc. 19th Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (New York), ACM, 2008, 782-788.

[25] D. Dellamonica Jr. and Y. Kohayakawa, An algorithmic Friedman-Pippenger theorem on tree embeddings and applications, Electron. J. Combin. 15 (2008), no. 1, Research Paper 127.

[26] D. Dellamonica Jr., Y. Kohayakawa, V. Rödl, and A. Ruciński, Universality of random graphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 26 (2012), 353-374.

[27] D. Dellamonica Jr., K. Kohayakawa, V. Rödl, and A. Ruciński, An improved upper bound on the density of universal random graphs, Random Structures Algorithms 46 (2015), 274-299.

[28] P. Erdős, R. Faudree, C. Rousseau and R. Schelp, The size Ramsey numbers, *Period. Math. Hungar.*, **9** (1978), 145-161.

[29] J. Friedman and N. Pippenger, Expanding graphs contain all small trees, Combinatorica 7 (1987), 71-76.

[30] S. Gerke, Y. Kohayakawa, V. Rödl, and A. Steger, Small subsets inherit sparse ϵ-regularity, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 97 (2007), 34-56.

[31] S. Janson, T. Łuczak, and A. Ruciński, Random graphs, Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 2000.

[32] J. Kim and S. Lee, Universality of Random Graphs for Graphs of Maximum Degree Two, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 28 (2014), 1467-1478.

[33] Y. Kohayakawa, Szemerédi's regularity lemma for sparse graphs, Foundations of computational mathematics (Rio de Janeiro, 1997), Springer, Berlin, 1997, 216-230.

[34] Y. Kohayakawa, V. Rödl, Szemerédi's regularity lemma and quasi-randomness, Recent advances in algorithms and combinatorics, CMS Books Math./Ouvrages Math. SMC, vol. 11, Springer, New York, 2003, 289-351.

[35] Y. Kohayakawa, V. Rödl, M. Schacht, E.Szemerédi, Sparse partition universal graphs for graphs of bounded degree, Adv. Math. 226 (2011), 5041-5065.

[36] T. Łuczak, The chromatic number of random graphs, Combinatorica 11 (1991), 45-54.

[37] V. Rödl, A note on universal graphs, Ars Combin. 11 (1981), 225-229.

[38] V. Rödl and A. Ruciński, Perfect matchings in ϵ-regular graphs and the blow-up lemma, Combinatorica 19 (1999), 437-452.

[39] V. Rödl and E. Szemerrédi, On size Ramsey numbers of graphs with bounded degree, Combinatorica 20 (2000), 257-262.

[40] E. Szemerédi, *Regular partitions of graphs*, in: Problémes Combinatories et théorie des graphs, Colloque Inter. CNRS, Univ. Orsay, Orsay, 1976, J. Bermond, J. Fournier, M. Las Vergnas, and D. Scotteau, Eds. (1978), 399-402.